

A Free Action of A Finite Group on 3-sphere Equivalent to A Linear Action

Ming Yang
Nanjing Agricultural University
Nanjing, P.R.China 210032

Abstract

In this paper, by use of techniques associated to cobordism theory and Morse theory, we give a proof of Space-Form-Conjecture, i.e. A free action of a finite group on 3-manifold is equivalent to a linear action.

Keywords: h-Cobordism, Closed 3-Manifolds, Linear Action.

MSC(2000): 57R80

1 introduction

The conjecture the a 3-manifold with $|\pi_1(M^3)| < \infty$ is homeomorphic to S^3/G for some finite subgroup $G \subset Isom^+(S^3)$ is equivalent to the combination of two old and famous conjectures:

Poincaré Conjecture. A simply connected 3-manifold is homeomorphic to 3-sphere.

Space-Form-Conjecture. A free action of a finite group on 3-manifold is equivalent to a linear action.

Space-Form-Conjecture has not been solved until now. In this paper, by use of techniques associated to cobordism theory and Morse theory, we give a proof of Space-Form-Conjecture.

We shall now outline the contents of the paper. In section 2, we prove that if the triad $(W; S^2, V)$ has exactly k critical points $\{p_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ of index 1, then there are $2k$ 1-submanifolds $\{S_R^1(p_i), \beta_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ on the boundary V such that the homotopy classes $\{S_R^1(p_i), \beta_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ are the generators of $\pi_1(V)$ and $\pi_1(W)$ generated by the homotopy classes $\{\beta_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ is a free product of infinite cycle groups. In section 3, we give the relationship between two linear expressions of generators of $\pi_1(V)$, where V is an orientable closed 2-manifold with genus k . In the linear expression, every entry of matrices is determined uniquely by the intersection numbers among the generators. In section 4, by use of the arrangement theorem of elementary cobordisms and the isotopy lemma, we prove that if $\pi_1(M^3)$ is a finite group and the Morse function $f : M^3 \rightarrow R$ has the least critical points, then the orientable, smooth, closed 3-manifold M^3 is the connected sum of finite prime 3-manifolds. Moreover M^3 is just a prime 3-manifold. In the section 5, we prove Space-Form-Conjecture.

2 Fundamental Group of 3-Manifold Trial with Critical Points of Index 1

Definition 1. $(W; V_0, V_1)$ is a smooth n -manifold trial, if W is a compact smooth n -manifold

and the boundary BdW is the disjoint union of two open and closed $(n-1)$ -manifolds V_0 and V_1 .

$(W; V_0, V_1)$ is the elementary cobordism, if W has exactly one critical point.

S^{n-1} represents the boundary of the unit closed disk D^n in R^n ; and OD^n represents the unit open disk in R^n .

Let $(W; V_0, V_1)$ be a elementary cobordism with Morse function $f : W \rightarrow R^1$ and gradient vector field ξ for f . Suppose $p \in W$ is a critical point, and $f^{-1}(0) = V_0$ and $f^{-1}(1) = V_1$, so that $0 < f(p) = c < 1$ and $f(p) = c$ is the only critical value in the interval $[0, 1]$. Define the left-hand sphere S_L of p is just the intersection of V_0 with all integral curves of ξ leading to the critical point p . The left-hand disc D_L is a smoothly imbedded disc with boundary S_L , defined to be the union of the segments of these integral curves beginning in S_L and ending at p . The right-hand sphere S_R of p in V_1 is the boundary of segments of integral curves of ξ beginning at p and ending in S_R .

Let M^n be a smooth closed n -manifold and $f : M^n \rightarrow R^1$ be a Morse function. If f has exactly r_λ critical points of index λ , f is called Morse function of type $\{r_0, \dots, r_n\}$.

Let $nub(f)$ denote the sum of $\{r_0, \dots, r_n\}$, namely, $nub(f) = r_0 + \dots + r_n$. the set $Mf_{min}(M^n)$ denote a subset of all Morse functions on M^n . If $f \in Mf_{min}(M^n)$, f has the least total number of the critical points.

Theorem 1. Let M^n be a smooth, oriented, closed n -manifold without the boundary, then for each Morse function $f_0 \in Mf_{min}(M^n)$, f_0 is Morse function of type $\{1, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}, 1\}$, namely, f_0 has exactly two critical points of index $0, n$.

Proof. We can suppose that $f \in Mf_{min}(M^n)$ and $f : M^n \rightarrow [-1, n]$ with $f(p_{\lambda i}) = \lambda - 0.5$, ($i = 1, \dots, r_\lambda$; $\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, n$), here λ is the index of the critical points $p_{\lambda i}$ of f .

It is obviously that $r_0, r_n \geq 1$. If $r_0 \geq 2$, then $f^{-1}[-1, 0] = W_{01} + \dots + W_{0r_0}$, here $p_{0i} \in W_{0i}$, $\{W_{0i} \mid i = 1, \dots, r_0\}$ are disjoint n -discs, and $f^{-1}(0) = BdW_{01} + \dots + BdW_{0r_0}$ are disjoint $(n-1)$ -spheres. If $f^{-1}(1)$ has k ($k \geq 2$) connected components $f^{-1}(1) = Q_1 + \dots + Q_k$, then $f^{-1}[1, 2]$ has exactly k connected components. As $f^{-1}[1, 2]$ has a deformation retract (see [1] Throrem 3.14)

$$(Q_1 + \dots + Q_k) \cup D_L^2(p_{21}) \cup \dots \cup D_L^2(p_{2r_2})$$

$$f^{-1}(1) \cap D_L^2(p_{2i}) = S_L^1(p_{2i}), \quad (i = 1, \dots, r_2)$$

and $S_L^1(p_{2i})$ is connected, so, $f^{-1}(2)$ has exactly k connected components.

If $\lambda \geq 2$, $f^{-1}(\lambda - 1)$ has exactly k connected components, then $f^{-1}[\lambda - 1, \lambda]$ and $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ have exactly k connected components respectively. The set $f^{-1}[\lambda - 1, \lambda]$ has a deformation retract

$$f^{-1}(\lambda - 1) \cup D_L^\lambda(p_{\lambda 1}) \cup \dots \cup D_L^\lambda(p_{\lambda r_\lambda})$$

$$f^{-1}(\lambda - 1) \cap D_L^\lambda(p_{\lambda i}) = S_L^{\lambda-1}(p_{\lambda i})$$

and $S_L^{\lambda-1}(p_{\lambda i})$ is connected, $D_L^\lambda(p_{\lambda 1}), \dots, D_L^\lambda(p_{\lambda r_\lambda})$ are the disjoint λ -discs. Therefore, M^n has exactly k connected components. Because M^n is connected, we have $k = 1$, and $f^{-1}(1)$ is connected. So that $f^{-1}[0, 1]$ is also connected.

Let $\varphi_i : S^0 \times OD^{n-1} \rightarrow f^{-1}(0)$ ($i = 1, \dots, r_1$) be r_1 disjoint characteristic embeddings of p_{1i} . $f^{-1}[0, 1]$ has a deformation retract

$$f^{-1}(0) \cup D_L^1(p_{11}) \cup \dots \cup D_L^1(p_{1r_1})$$

$$f^{-1}(0) \cap D_L^1(p_{1i}) = f^{-1}(0) \cap D_L^1(p_{1i}) = S_L^0(p_{1i}) = \varphi_i(S^0 \times 0)$$

As $f^{-1}(1)$, $f^{-1}[0, 1]$ are both connected and $f^{-1}[-1, 0]$ has r_0 connected components, $r_0 \geq 2$, so there is the critical point p_{1j} satisfying $\varphi_j((-1) \times 0) \in BdW_{01}$; $\varphi_j((+1) \times 0) \in BdW_{02}$. On the other hand, BdW_{01} is the right-hand sphere $S_R^{n-1}(p_{01})$, therefore, $S_L^0(p_{1j})$ and $S_R^{n-1}(p_{01})$ intersect at one point $\varphi_j((-1) \times 0)$, two critical points p_{1j} , p_{01} can be removed by the first cancellation theorem ([2] p45), so that there is a Morse function $f_1 : M^n \rightarrow [0, n]$ with $nub(f_1) + 2 = nub(f)$. However, $f \in Mf_{min}(M^n)$, therefore, f has exactly one critical point of index 0, namely, $r_0 = 1$. The Morse function $-f : M^n \rightarrow [-n, 1]$ has the same critical points as that of f , the critical point $p_{\lambda i}$ of $-f$ has the index $n - \lambda$, hence, $r_n = 1$ is true. **QED**

Let M^3 be a smooth, oriented, closed 3-manifold without the boundary. Since Euler characteristic of M^3 is $\chi(M^3) = 0$, there is a self-indexing Morse function $f : M^3 \rightarrow R^1$ of type $\{1, k, k, 1\}$, so $f^{-1}[-1, 0] = W_0$ and $f^{-1}[2, 3] = W_3$ are both 3-discs, $V_{0+} = f^{-1}(0)$ and $V_{2+} = f^{-1}(2)$ are both 2-spheres. Moreover, $\pi_1(M^3) = \pi_1(f^{-1}[0, 2]) = \pi_1(W_1 \cup W_2)$.

Theorem 2. $(W; V_0, V)$ is a triad of an oriented smooth compact 3-manifold; and V_0 is diffeomorphic to S^2 . $f : W \rightarrow R^1$ is a Morse function, $f^{-1}(0) = V_0$, $f^{-1}(1) = V$. There exist k critical points $p_1, \dots, p_k$ in W , being on one same horizontal plane. Then,

- (1) V is an oriented closed 2-manifold with the genus k ;
- (2) In V , there exist k disjoint 1-manifolds $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k$; The right-hand spheres $S_R^1(p_1), \dots, S_R^1(p_k)$ of the critical points are also disjoint 1-manifolds on V . β_i only intersect with $S_R^1(p_i)$ at one point. The set of homotopy classes $\{[S_R^1(p_i)], [\beta_i] \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ is a set of generators for $\pi_1(V)$;
- (3) $\{\beta_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ is a set of generators of $\pi_1(W)$, and $\pi_1(W)$ is the free product of k infinite cyclic groups.

Proof. As W is an oriented smooth compact 3-manifold, so V is an oriented smooth closed 2-manifold. In W , there are k disjoint characteristic embeddings ([1] P28),

$$\varphi_i : S^0 \times OD^2 \rightarrow V_0, \quad (i = 1, \dots, k)$$

Take a disjoint sum

$$(V_0 - \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i(S^0 \times 0)) + \sum_{i=1}^k (OD^1 \times S^1)_i$$

and the equivalences as follows

$$\varphi_i(u, \theta v) = (\theta u, v)_i, \quad u \in S^0, \quad v \in S^1, \quad 0 < \theta < 1$$

Thus obtaining an oriented, smooth, closed 2-manifold $\chi(V_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k)$ with genus k . And $S_R^1(p_i) = (0 \times S^1)_i$, $S_L^0(p_i) = \varphi_i(S^0 \times 0)$.

According to Theorem 3.13([2] P31-36), $(W; V_0, V)$ is diffeomorphic to

$$(\omega(V_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k); V_0, \chi(V_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k))$$

Therefore, $V = \chi(V_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k)$.

Take k 1-manifolds $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\}$ in $\chi(V_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k)$ as follows

First of all, it is possible to take disjoint closed 2-disk $\{B_1, \dots, B_k\}$ in V_0 such that $\varphi_i : S^0 \times OD^2 \subset B_i$. Then, the genus of $\chi(B_i, \varphi_i)$ is equal to 1, $Bd\chi(B_i, \varphi_i) = BdB_i$, $\chi(B_i, \varphi_i) \cong S^1 \times S^1 - B_0$, here B_0 is an open 2-disk, in the diffeomorphism, $S_R^1(p_i) = (0 \times S^1)_i$ is corresponding to $y \times S^1 \subset S^1 \times S^1 - B_0$ ($y \in S^1$). We may retake one 1-submanifold

$S^1 \times z$ in $S^1 \times S^1 - B_0$ such that $y \times S^1$ intersect with $S^1 \times z$ at one point. In $\chi(B_i, \varphi_i)$, the 1-submanifold corresponding to $S^1 \times z$ is denoted as β_i , then $S_R^1(p_i)$ intersect with β_i at one point, besides, two homotopy classes $\{[S_R^1(p_i)], [\beta_i]\}$ generate $\pi_1(\chi(B_i, \varphi_i))$.

Letting $H_k = V_0 - \cup B_i$, we have

$$\chi(V_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k) = H_k \cup \chi(B_1, \varphi_1) \cup \dots \cup \chi(B_k, \varphi_k)$$

$$S_R^1(p_i) \cup \beta_i \subset \text{Int}\chi(B_i, \varphi_i), \quad (i = 1, \dots, k)$$

According to well-known 2-manifolds theory, the homotopy classes $\{[S_R^1(p_i)], [\beta_i] \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ are the generators of $\pi_1(V)$.

Let $\Psi : V_0 \rightarrow BdD^3$ be a diffeomorphism, then $M = W \cup_\Psi D^3$ is an oriented smooth compact 3-manifold, M has a deformation retract ([1] Theorem 3.14)

$$D^3 \cup D_L^1(p_1) \cup \dots \cup D_L^1(p_k)$$

where $\{D_L^1(p_i)\}$ are disjoint 1-discs, $D^3 \cap D_L^1(p_i) = S_L^0(p_i) = \varphi_i(S^0 \times 0)$.

As D^3 has a deformation retract being the origin, so M has a deformation retract which is k circles with one common point. Hence, $\pi_1(W)$ is a free product of k infinite cyclic groups. As $S_R^1(p_i)$ is the boundary of 2-disc $D_R^2(p_i)$ and $D_R^2(p_i) \subset W$, so $S_R^1(p_i)$ is null homotopy in W . According to the definition of $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\}$, $\{[\beta_1], \dots, [\beta_k]\}$ is the set of generators of $\pi_1(W)$. **QED**

Theorem 3. Suppose that $(W'; V, V_2)$ is an oriented, smooth, compact 3-manifolds and that V_2 is simply connected. $f : (W'; V, V_2) \rightarrow R^1$ is a Morse function with the critical points $q_1, \dots, q_k$ of index 2. Then

- (1) V is an oriented closed 2-manifold with the genus k ;
- (2) In V , there exist k disjoint 1-manifolds $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$; The left-hand spheres $S_L^1(q_k), \dots, S_L^1(q_1)$ of the critical points are also disjoint 1-manifolds on V . γ_i only intersect with $S_L^1(q_i)$ at one point. The set of homotopy classes $\{[S_L^1(q_i)], [\gamma_i] \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ is a set of generators for $\pi_1(V)$;
- (3) $\{[\gamma_i] \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ is a set of generators of $\pi_1(W')$, and $\pi_1(W')$ is the free product of k infinite cyclic groups.

Proof. As $(W'; V, V_2)$ has exactly the critical points $q_1, \dots, q_k$ of type 2, so $(W'; V_2, V)$ has exactly the critical points $q_1, \dots, q_k$ of type 1. In $(W'; V, V_2)$, there are k disjoint characteristic embeddings ([1] P28),

$$\psi_i : S^1 \times OD^1 \rightarrow V, \quad (i = 1, \dots, k)$$

Where $\psi_i(S^1 \times 0) = S_L^1(q_i)$.

Then in $(W'; V_2, V)$, there are k disjoint characteristic embeddings

$$(\psi_i)_R : S^0 \times OD^2 \rightarrow V_2, \quad (i = 1, \dots, k)$$

According to Theorem 2, we obtain the desire conclusions. **QED**

3 Linear Expression between Two Sets of Generators of $\pi_1(T(k))$

Let $T(k)$ be a smooth oriented closed 2-manifold with the genus k and $g : S^1 \rightarrow T(k)$ be a continuous mapping. $[g(S^1)]$ denotes the homotopy class of $g(S^1)$. If $\alpha \cap \beta \neq \emptyset, \forall \alpha \in$

$[g(S^1)], \forall \beta \in [l(S^1)]$, there are two closed paths $\alpha_0 \in [g(S^1)]$ and $\beta_0 \in [l(S^1)]$ with finite cross points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$, each point is on both a smooth curve segment of α_0 and a smooth curve segment of β_0 .

Suppose that ω is an orientation of $T(k)$. Given S^1 an orientation, then, the orientations are fixed for $\alpha_0(S^1)$ and $\beta_0(S^1)$. $\alpha_0(S^1)$ has one tangent vector $T(\alpha_0)_{x_i}$ at x_i , $\beta_0(S^1)$ has one tangent vector $T(\beta_0)_{x_i}$ at x_i . When the orientation of the tangent vector frame $(T(\alpha_0)_{x_i}, T(\beta_0)_{x_i})$ is the same as the orientation ω , the intersection number of $\alpha_0(S^1)$ and $\beta_0(S^1)$ at the point x_i is 1, namely $(\alpha_0 \cdot \beta_0)_{x_i} = 1$. When the orientation of the tangent vector frame $(T(\alpha_0)_{x_i}, T(\beta_0)_{x_i})$ is the same as the orientation $-\omega$, the intersection number of $\alpha_0(S^1)$ and $\beta_0(S^1)$ at the point x_i is -1 , namely $(\alpha_0 \cdot \beta_0)_{x_i} = -1$. The intersection number of $g(S^1)$ and $l(S^1)$ is defined as

$$l \cdot g = \sum_x (l \cdot g)_x$$

It is well known the intersection number $l \cdot g$ is a homotopy invariant.

Let l and g be two oriented closed paths with a common point y . $l \circ g$ denotes a oriented closed path starting at y running back to y along g and again running back to y along l . l^{-1} denotes the reverse of closed path l .

Definition 2. Let l and g be two closed paths in $T(k)$. There are $\alpha_0 \in [g(S^1)]$ and $\beta_0 \in [l(S^1)]$ which have the least cross points, the number of the cross points of α_0 and β_0 is called intersection degree, denoted by $d(l, g)$.

The intersection numbers and the intersection degrees have following properties

- (1) $d(l, g) = d(g, l)$ is a homotopy invariant;
- (2) The intersection degree $d(l, g)$ is a nonnegative integer;
- (3) There are $\alpha_0 \in [g(S^1)]$ and $\beta_0 \in [l(S^1)]$ satisfying $\alpha_0 \cap \beta_0 = \emptyset$, if and only if $d(l, g) = 0$;
- (4) $l \cdot g = -g \cdot l$, $l^{-1} \cdot g = -l \cdot g$;
- (5) $(l_1 \circ l_2) \cdot g = l_1 \cdot g + l_2 \cdot g$, $g \cdot (l_1 \circ l_2) = g \cdot l_1 + g \cdot l_2$;
- (6) $l \cdot l = 0$;
- (7) $d(l, g) \geq |l \cdot g|$;
- (8) $d(l, g) = 0 \Rightarrow l \cdot g = 0$, $l \cdot g = 0 \Rightarrow d(l, g) = 0$ or $d(l, g)$ is positive even number.

According to the well-known theory of the oriented differentiable closed 2-manifold ([2]), on the oriented differentiable closed 2-manifold $T(k)$ with the genus k , there exist $2k$ 1-sub-manifolds $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_k\}$ which satisfy the followings

- (1) α_i and β_i transversally intersect at one point ($i = 1, \dots, k$);
- (2) $\alpha_i \cap \beta_j = \emptyset$; $\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j = \emptyset$; $\beta_i \cap \beta_j = \emptyset$ ($\forall i \neq j$).

The homotopy classes $\{[\alpha_1], [\beta_1], \dots, [\alpha_k], [\beta_k]\}$ are called the canonical generators of $\pi_1(T(k))$.

If $k = 1$, $\pi_1(T(1))$ generated by $\{[\alpha], [\beta]\}$ is a commutative group;

If $k \geq 2$, $\pi_1(T(k))$ is a non-commutative group which is the quotient of the free group on the generators $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_k\}$ modulo the normal subgroup generated by the element

$$\prod_{i=1}^k [\alpha_i, \beta_i]$$

where $[\alpha_i, \beta_i] = \alpha_i \beta_i \alpha_i^{-1} \beta_i^{-1}$.

Given $T(k)$ an orientation, and given α_i, β_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$) proper orientations, we have

$$\alpha_i \cdot \beta_i = 1 \ (i = 1, \dots, k), \ \alpha_i \cdot \beta_j = 0 \ (i \neq j) \quad (1)$$

$$\alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j = \beta_i \cdot \beta_j = 0 \ (\forall i, j) \quad (2)$$

Definition 3. For $k \geq 2$, $\forall \delta, \varepsilon \in \pi_1(T(k))$, $[\delta, \varepsilon] = \delta\varepsilon\delta^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}$ is called the commutant of δ and ε . The normal subgroup $[\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))]$ generated by all commutators is called commutant subgroup.

Lemma 1. if $\forall e \in [\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))]$ and $\forall l \in \pi_1(T(k))$, then $e \cdot l = 0$.

Proof. $\forall \delta, \varepsilon \in \pi_1(T(k))$, $l \in \pi_1(T(k))$, we have

$$[\delta, \varepsilon] \cdot l = (\delta\varepsilon\delta^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}) \cdot l = \delta \cdot l + \varepsilon \cdot l + \delta^{-1} \cdot l + \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot l = \delta \cdot l + \varepsilon \cdot l - \delta \cdot l - \varepsilon \cdot l = 0$$

So, the conclusion is obtained by the definition of $[\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))]$. **QED**

Since the quotient group

$$\pi_1(T(k))/[\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))]$$

is a commutative group and $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_k\}$ are the infinite order generators, each element $l \in \pi_1(T(k))$ can be expressed linearly as following

$$l = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \beta_i \pmod{[\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))]} \quad (3)$$

where, m_i, n_i ($1, \dots, k$) are all integers.

According to (1), (2) and Lemma 1, the following may be obtained

$$m_i = l \cdot \beta_i, \quad n_i = -l \cdot \alpha_i$$

Equation (3) may be written as

$$l = \sum_{i=1}^k (l \cdot \beta_i) \alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^k (l \cdot \alpha_i) \beta_i, \pmod{[\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))]} \quad (4)$$

Lemma 2. For $\forall l \in \pi_1(T(k))$, the linear representation (4) is unique.

Proof. As the numbers $l \cdot \alpha_i, l \cdot \beta_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) are all the homotopy invariants, so every coefficient in (4) is uniquely determined by l and the generators $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_k$, the linear representation (4) of l is unique. **QED**

Take an element $g \in \pi_1(T(k))$. g can be expressed linearly as

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^k (g \cdot \beta_i) \alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^k (g \cdot \alpha_i) \beta_i, \pmod{[\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))]}$$

The intersection number $l \cdot g$ of l and g is

$$\begin{aligned} l \cdot g &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (l \cdot \beta_i) \alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^k (l \cdot \alpha_i) \beta_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (g \cdot \beta_i) \alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^k (g \cdot \alpha_i) \beta_i \right) \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^k (l \cdot \beta_i) (g \cdot \alpha_i) + \sum_{i=1}^k (l \cdot \alpha_i) (g \cdot \beta_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k ((l \cdot \alpha_i) (g \cdot \beta_i) - (l \cdot \beta_i) (g \cdot \alpha_i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \det \begin{pmatrix} l \cdot \beta_i & -l \cdot \alpha_i \\ g \cdot \beta_i & -g \cdot \alpha_i \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. For $\forall l, g \in \pi_1(T(k))$, we have following inequation

$$d(l, g) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} l \cdot \beta_i & -l \cdot \alpha_i \\ g \cdot \beta_i & -g \cdot \alpha_i \end{pmatrix} \right| \quad (5)$$

Proof. Let $l, g \in \pi_1(T(k))$ be two homotopy classes, then

$$l = \sum_{i=1}^k (l \cdot \beta_i) \alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^k (l \cdot \alpha_i) \beta_i, \quad (\text{mod } [\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))])$$

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^k (g \cdot \beta_i) \alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^k (g \cdot \alpha_i) \beta_i, \quad (\text{mod } [\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))])$$

If $k = 1$, Then

$$d(l, g) = |l \cdot g| = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} l \cdot \beta & -l \cdot \alpha \\ g \cdot \beta & -g \cdot \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right|$$

Moreover, since $\pi_1(T(1))$ is a commutative group, we have

$$d(l, g) = |l \cdot g| = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} l \cdot \beta & -l \cdot \alpha \\ g \cdot \beta & -g \cdot \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right|$$

If $k \geq 2$. we consider the product expressions of (α, β)

$$l = \prod_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i^{l \cdot \beta_i} \beta_i^{-l \cdot \alpha_i} A_i)$$

$$g = \prod_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i^{g \cdot \beta_i} \beta_i^{-g \cdot \alpha_i} B_i)$$

where $A_i, B_i \in [\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))]$.

We can assume that l and g have exactly $d(l, g)$ cross points. For each j , l_j and g_j denote $\alpha_i^{l \cdot \beta_i} \beta_i^{-l \cdot \alpha_i}$ and $\alpha_i^{g \cdot \beta_i} \beta_i^{-g \cdot \alpha_i}$ respectively. Then

$$d(l_j, g_j) \geq |l_j \cdot g_j| = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} l_j \cdot \beta_j & -l_j \cdot \alpha_j \\ g_j \cdot \beta_j & -g_j \cdot \alpha_j \end{pmatrix} \right|$$

$$d(l_j, g_i) \geq |l_j \cdot g_i| = 0, \quad (\forall j \neq i)$$

therefore, l and g have at least $\sum_{i=1}^k |l_i \cdot g_i|$ cross points, namely

$$d(l, g) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} l \cdot \beta_i & -l \cdot \alpha_i \\ g \cdot \beta_i & -g \cdot \alpha_i \end{pmatrix} \right|$$

QED

Let $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_k\}$ and $\{\theta_1, \gamma_1, \dots, \theta_k, \gamma_k\}$ be both the canonical generators of $\pi_1(T(k))$.

Given $T(k)$ the orientation $-\omega$ and given $\{\theta_i, \gamma_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ the proper orientations, the equations can be obtained

$$\alpha_i \cdot \beta_i = 1; \quad \theta_i \cdot \gamma_i = -1, \quad (\forall i = 1, \dots, k)$$

Now use the marks

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\}; \quad (\theta, \gamma) = \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$$

Use of (α, β) to express linearly (θ, γ)

$$\theta_i = \sum_{j=1}^k (\theta_i \cdot \beta_j) \alpha_j - \sum_{j=1}^k (\theta_i \cdot \alpha_j) \beta_j, \quad (\text{mod } [\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))]) \quad (6)$$

$$\gamma_i = \sum_{j=1}^k (\gamma_i \cdot \beta_j) \alpha_j - \sum_{j=1}^k (\gamma_i \cdot \alpha_j) \beta_j, \quad (\text{mod } [\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))]) \quad (7)$$

Use (θ, γ) to express linearly (α, β)

$$\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^k (\alpha_i \cdot \gamma_j) \theta_j - \sum_{j=1}^k (\alpha_i \cdot \theta_j) \gamma_j, \quad (\text{mod } [\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))]) \quad (8)$$

$$\beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^k (\beta_i \cdot \gamma_j) \theta_j - \sum_{j=1}^k (\beta_i \cdot \theta_j) \gamma_j, \quad (\text{mod } [\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))]) \quad (9)$$

In order to we rewrite(6),(7),(8),(9) in terms of matrices. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k); \quad \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k); \\ \theta &= (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k); \quad \gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k); \end{aligned}$$

$$\theta^T \cdot \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \cdot \alpha_1 & \dots & \theta_1 \cdot \alpha_k \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \theta_k \cdot \alpha_1 & \dots & \theta_k \cdot \alpha_k \end{pmatrix} = (\theta_i \cdot \alpha_j)_{k \times k}$$

and $\theta^T \cdot \beta = (\theta_i \cdot \beta_j)_{k \times k}$; $\gamma^T \cdot \alpha = (\gamma_i \cdot \alpha_j)_{k \times k}$; $\gamma^T \cdot \beta = (\gamma_i \cdot \beta_j)_{k \times k}$.
(6) and (7) can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta^T \\ \gamma^T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta^T \cdot \beta & -\theta^T \cdot \alpha \\ \gamma^T \cdot \beta & -\gamma^T \cdot \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^T \\ \beta^T \end{pmatrix} = H \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^T \\ \beta^T \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{mod } [\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))]) \quad (10)$$

(8) and (9) can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^T \\ \beta^T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^T \cdot \gamma & -\alpha^T \cdot \theta \\ \beta^T \cdot \gamma & -\beta^T \cdot \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \theta^T \\ \gamma^T \end{pmatrix} = H^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \theta^T \\ \gamma^T \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{mod } [\pi_1(T(k)), \pi_1(T(k))]) \quad (11)$$

As $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\}$ are the generators of $\pi_1(T(k))$ and $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ also are the generators of $\pi_1(T(k))$, therefore, H and H^{-1} are both the nonsingular matrixes.

According the equations (10) and (11), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta^T \cdot \beta & -\theta^T \cdot \alpha \\ \gamma^T \cdot \beta & -\gamma^T \cdot \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^T \cdot \gamma & -\alpha^T \cdot \theta \\ \beta^T \cdot \gamma & -\beta^T \cdot \theta \end{pmatrix} = HH^{-1} = E_{2k}$$

where E_{2k} is a unit matrix.

Moreover, H and H^{-1} are both the integral matrixes, so

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \theta^T \cdot \beta & -\theta^T \cdot \alpha \\ \gamma^T \cdot \beta & -\gamma^T \cdot \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^T \cdot \gamma & -\alpha^T \cdot \theta \\ \beta^T \cdot \gamma & -\beta^T \cdot \theta \end{pmatrix} = \pm 1$$

For the determination of the coefficient matrixes H and H^{-1} in (10) and (11), it is necessary to discuss the cobordisms of the orientable closed 3-manifolds.

4 Right-hand Spheres and Left-hand Spheres in 3-manifolds with Universal Covering Space S^3

Theorem 4. Let $(W; S^2, V)$ and $(W'; V, S^2)$ be both the elementary cobordisms. $(W; S^2, V)$ has just one critical point p of index 1, $(W'; V, S^2)$ has just one critical point q of index 2. $(W \cup W'; S^2, S^2)$ is an oriented triad of 3-manifold. Then

If $S_R^1(p) \cdot S_L^1(q) = 0$ in V , $\pi_1(W \cup W')$ is the infinite cycle group, namely, $\pi_1(W \cup W') \cong Z$.

If $|S_R^1(p) \cdot S_L^1(q)| \geq 1$ in V , $\pi_1(W \cup W')$ is the finite cycle group

$$\pi_1(W \cup W') \cong Z/|S_R^1(p) \cdot S_L^1(q)|$$

Proof. Since V is an orientable closed 2-manifold with the genuse 1, $\pi_1(V)$ is the commutative group, so we have the following equations

$$\theta = (\theta \cdot \beta)\alpha - (\theta \cdot \alpha)\beta \quad (12)$$

$$\gamma = (\gamma \cdot \beta)\alpha - (\gamma \cdot \alpha)\beta \quad (13)$$

$$\alpha = -(\alpha \cdot \gamma)\theta + (\alpha \cdot \theta)\gamma \quad (14)$$

$$\beta = -(\beta \cdot \gamma)\theta + (\beta \cdot \theta)\gamma \quad (15)$$

where $\theta = S_L^1(q)$ and $\alpha = S_R^1(p)$.

According to Theorem 3.14 [1], the 3-manifold $W \cup W'$ has two deformation retracts

$$W \cup D_L^2(q) \quad (16)$$

$$D_R^2(p) \cup W' \quad (17)$$

If $S_R^1(p) \cdot S_L^1(q) = 0$, from (12) and (14), we obtain

$$\theta = (\theta \cdot \beta)\alpha; \quad \alpha = -(\alpha \cdot \gamma)\theta$$

As $\theta = S_L^1(q) = BdD_L^2(q)$ and $\alpha = S_R^1(p) = BdD_R^2(p)$, so θ is null homotopy in W' and α is null homotopy in W .

For every nonzero integer n , define one set $\beta^n(W, V)$ of the homotopy classes in $\pi_1(V)$

$$\beta^n(W, V) = \{[l] \mid [l] \in \pi_1(V), l \sim \beta^n \text{ (in } W)\}$$

Where $l \sim \beta^n$ (in W) denote l homotopy equivalence to β^n in W .

It is obviously that for any integer m and any nonzero integer n , $\alpha^m \notin \beta^n(W, V)$ in V , so $\theta = S_L^1(q) = (\theta \cdot \beta)\alpha \notin \beta^n(W, V)$. Since $\pi_1(W)$ generated by β is the infinite cycle group, $\pi_1(W \cup W')$ is the infinite cycle group with the generator β by Van. Kampen theorem.

If $|S_R^1(p) \cdot S_L^1(q)| \geq 1$ in V , then $\theta = (\theta \cdot \beta)\alpha - (\theta \cdot \alpha)\beta \in \beta^{-(\theta \cdot \alpha)}(W, V)$, hence $\beta^{-(\theta \cdot \alpha)}$ is null homotopy in $W \cup W'$, but for every n ($1 \leq n < |S_R^1(p) \cdot S_L^1(q)| = |\alpha \cdot \theta|$), β^n is not null homotopy in $W \cup W'$. We obtain the conclusion

$$\pi_1(W \cup W') \cong Z/|S_R^1(p) \cdot S_L^1(q)|$$

QED

Theorem 5. Let $(W \cap W'; S^2, S^2)$, $(W; S^2, V)$, $(W'; V, S^2)$ be all oriented compact 3-manifolds and $f : W \cup W' \rightarrow R^1$ be a Morse function with the least critical points. $(W; S^2, V)$ has the critical points $p_1, \dots, p_k$ ($k \geq 2$) of index 1 and $(W'; V, S^2)$ has the critical points $q_1, \dots, q_k$ of index 2. Define $\alpha_i = S_R^1(p_i)$, $\theta_i = S_L^1(q_i)$, then in V , we have following equations

$$\theta_{\sigma(i)} = (\theta_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \beta_i) \alpha_i - (\theta_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \alpha_i) \beta_i, \quad (\text{mod } [\pi_1(V), \pi_1(V)]) \quad (18)$$

$$\gamma_{\sigma(i)} = (\gamma_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \beta_i) \alpha_i - (\gamma_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \alpha_i) \beta_i, \quad (\text{mod } [\pi_1(V), \pi_1(V)]) \quad (19)$$

$$\theta_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \gamma_{\sigma(i)} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \beta_i & -\theta_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \alpha_i \\ \gamma_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \beta_i & -\gamma_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \alpha_i \end{pmatrix} = \pm 1 \quad (20)$$

where σ is one permutation of $\{1, \dots, k\}$.

Proof. Since $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\}$ and $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ are both the canonical generators of $\pi_1(V)$, we have following inequations

$$\begin{aligned} d(\theta_l, \gamma_j) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \theta_l \cdot \beta_i & -\theta_l \cdot \alpha_i \\ \gamma_j \cdot \beta_i & -\gamma_j \cdot \alpha_i \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ 1 = d(\theta_j, \gamma_j) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \theta_j \cdot \beta_i & -\theta_j \cdot \alpha_i \\ \gamma_j \cdot \beta_i & -\gamma_j \cdot \alpha_i \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ (\forall l \neq j) \quad 0 = d(\theta_l, \gamma_j) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \theta_l \cdot \beta_i & -\theta_l \cdot \alpha_i \\ \gamma_j \cdot \beta_i & -\gamma_j \cdot \alpha_i \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ 0 = d(\theta_l, \theta_j) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \theta_l \cdot \beta_i & -\theta_l \cdot \alpha_i \\ \theta_j \cdot \beta_i & -\theta_j \cdot \alpha_i \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ 0 = d(\gamma_l, \gamma_j) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_l \cdot \beta_i & -\gamma_l \cdot \alpha_i \\ \gamma_j \cdot \beta_i & -\gamma_j \cdot \alpha_i \end{pmatrix} \right| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \theta^T \cdot \beta & -\theta^T \cdot \alpha \\ \gamma^T \cdot \beta & -\gamma^T \cdot \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^T \cdot \gamma & -\alpha^T \cdot \theta \\ \beta^T \cdot \gamma & -\beta^T \cdot \theta \end{pmatrix} = \pm 1$$

Hence there exists one permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ such that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \beta_i & -\theta_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \alpha_i \\ \gamma_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \beta_i & -\gamma_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \alpha_i \end{pmatrix} = \pm 1, \quad (\forall i)$$

and

$$\theta_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \beta_j = \theta_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \alpha_j = \gamma_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \beta_j = \gamma_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \alpha_j, \quad (\forall j \neq i)$$

From (10) and above eqations, we obtain (18), (19), (20). **QED**

Definition 5. Let $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_k\}$ be the generators of $\pi_1(V)$ and V be an oriented closed 2-manifold. Each element $g \in \pi_1(V)$ is expressed in the product form

$$g = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_m, \quad \xi \in \{\alpha_1^{\pm 1}, \beta_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, \alpha_k^{\pm 1}, \beta_k^{\pm 1}\} \quad (21)$$

$\alpha_j^{\pm 1}$ (or $\beta_k^{\pm 1}$) is called as homogenous, if the numbers of occurrence α_j (β_j) and α_j^{-1} (β_j^{-1}) are the same in equation (21).

Lemma 4. Suppose that $(W; V_0, V)$ is an elementary cobordism of the oriented smooth compact 3-manifold, $V_0 = T(k)$, $(k \geq 1)$ and W has exactly one critical point q of index 2. Let S be a 1-submanifold in V_0 and $S_L^1(q) \subset V_0$ be the left-hand sphere of q .

If $d(S, S_L^1(q)) > 0$ in V_0 , then the gradient image of any closed path $s \subset V_0$ in the homotopy class $[S]$ is not a closed path on V , namely, S is not homotopy onto V .

If $S_0 \subset V$ is any closed path, then S_0 is homotopy equivalence into V_0 in W . Let $S_0(W, V_0)$ denote all path lifting from S_0 into V_0 in W , then for any closed path $g \in S_0(W, V_0)$, $d(g, S_L^1(q)) = 0$ in V_0 .

Proof. We may assume that S and $S_L^1(q)$ have exactly $m = d(S, S_L^1(q))$ cross points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$. Take disjoint curve segments $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m\}$ on S such that the curve segment l_i pass the point x_i , so l_i and $S_L^1(q)$ intersect at one point x_i . Let $J(y)$ ($y \in V_0 - S_L^1(q)$) denote the gradient curve via the point y and $J(y)$ ($y \in S_L^1(q)$) denote the union of the gradient curve from y to q and the right-hand disc $D_R^1(q)$. Let Q be a subset of V_0 , define $J(Q) \cap V$ being the gradient image of Q in V . Let $l \subset V_0 - S_L^1(q)$ be a continuous curve, then $q \notin J(l)$, so $J(l) \cap V$ is homeomorphic to l . As the set $D_R^1(q) \cap V = S_R^0(q)$ has exactly two points, hence, $J(l_i) \cap V$ is two disjoint curve segments and $J(S) \cap V$ is m disjoint curve segments. If $m = d(S, S_L^1(q)) > 0$, then, $J(S) \cap V$ is not a closed path in V , moreover, $s_0 \cap S_L^1(q)$ ($s_0 \in [S]$) has at least m points, so $J(s_0) \cap V$ is not a closed path in V .

Let $J(y)$ ($y \in V - S_R^0(q)$) denote the gradient curve via the point y and $J(x)$ ($x \in S_R^0(q)$) be the union of the gradient curve from q to x and the left-hand disc $D_L^2(q)$. Let E be a subset of V , define $J(E) \cap V_0$ being the gradient image of E in V_0 .

Taking a closed path $g \subset V - S_R^0(q)$, because $q \notin J(g)$, $J(g) \cap V_0$ is homeomorphic to g .

Let S_0 be a closed path in V . As V is a connected 2-manifold and the right-hand sphere $S_R^0(q)$ has exactly two points, so there exists $S_1 \in [S_0]$ satisfying $S_1 \subset V - S_R^0(q)$, $J(S_1) \cap V_0$ is homotopy equivalence to S_1 in W . Suppose that $S_0 \subset V_0$ is homotopy equivalence to $S \subset V$ in W and $d(S_0, S_L^1(q)) > 0$ in V_0 , according to the first conclusion, it is impossible that S_0 is homotopy equivalence to S . Hence $d(S_0, S_L^1(q)) = 0$ in V_0 . **QED**

Remark. The above results can be generalized to the case of more than one critical point of index 2.

Theorem 6. Let the 3-manifold $(W \cup W'; S^2, S^2)$ satisfy the conditions of theorem 5, $\pi_1(W \cup W')$ is a finite group. Then there are one permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ and at least a integer i , such that

(1) $\{\theta_{\sigma(i)}^{\pm 1}\} = \beta_i^{r_i}(W, V) \cap G\{\theta\}$, where, the group $G\{\theta\}$ generated by $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$ is the free product of infinite cycle group and $\beta_i^{r_i}(W, V) = \{[l] \mid [l] \in \pi_1(V), l \sim \beta_i^{\pm r_i}, \text{ in } W\}$.

(2) $r_i = |\theta_{\sigma(i)} \cdot \alpha_i| > 0$ ($\forall i$); $\theta_{\sigma(j)} \cdot \alpha_i = 0$ ($\forall j \neq i$).

Proof. According to Theorem 5, we can assume, by proper choice of serial numbers of $\{\theta_1, \gamma_1, \dots, \theta_k, \gamma_k\}$, that the permutation σ is just $\sigma(j) = j$. So we have

$$\theta_j = (\theta_j \cdot \beta_j) \alpha_j - (\theta_j \cdot \alpha_j) \beta_j, \quad (\text{mod } [\pi_1(V), \pi_1(V)]) \quad (22)$$

$$\gamma_j = (\gamma_j \cdot \beta_j) \alpha_j - (\gamma_j \cdot \alpha_j) \beta_j, \quad (\text{mod } [\pi_1(V), \pi_1(V)]) \quad (23)$$

$$\theta_j \cdot \gamma_j = \det \begin{pmatrix} \theta_j \cdot \beta_j & -\theta_j \cdot \alpha_j \\ \gamma_j \cdot \beta_j & -\gamma_j \cdot \alpha_j \end{pmatrix} = -1 \quad (24)$$

For every i and some natural number n , define one subset $\beta_i^n(W, V)$ of the homotopy classes in $\pi_1(V)$

$$\beta_i^n(W, V) = \{[l] \mid [l] \in \pi_1(V), l \sim \beta_i^{\pm n}, \text{ in } W\}$$

where $l \sim \beta_i^{\pm n}$ (in W) denote l homotopy equivalence to $\beta_i^{\pm n}$ in W .

The 3-manifold $W \cup W'$ has a deformation retract

$$W \cup D_L^2(q_1) \cup \cdots \cup D_L^2(q_k)$$

$\{D_L^2(q_i)\}$ are disjoint 2-discs, $W \cap D_L^2(q_i) = V \cap D_L^2(q_i) = S_L^1(q_i) = \theta_i$.

Let $G\{\theta\}$ generated by $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$ be a subgroup of $\pi_1(V)$, so each element $g \in G\{\theta\}$ is null homotopy in $W \cup W'$ and W' .

W' has a deformation retract

$$V \cup D_L^2(q_1) \cup \cdots \cup D_L^2(q_k)$$

So we obtain the conclusion: (1) In W' , any closed path may be homotopy onto V . (2) In V , any closed path l is null homotopy in W' , if and only if $[l] \in G\{\theta\}$.

If for some i , $\beta_i^{r_i}(W, V) \cap G\{\theta\} = \emptyset$ and $r_i \geq 1$, we will show that $\beta_i^{r_i}$ is not null homotopy in $W \cup W'$.

Take a positive number ε , $\varepsilon < 1$, following two sets

$$X = W - f^{-1}(0); \quad Y = f^{-1}(-\varepsilon, 0] \cup D_L^2(q_1) \cup \cdots \cup D_L^2(q_k)$$

are both the path connected open subsets of $W \cup D_L^2(q_1) \cup \cdots \cup D_L^2(q_k)$. $X \cap Y = f^{-1}(-\varepsilon, 0)$ is a open product manifold $V \times (-\varepsilon, 0)$, therefore, the set $\{X, Y, X \cap Y\}$ is a path connected open covering of $W \cup D_L^2(q_1) \cup \cdots \cup D_L^2(q_k)$. As $\beta_i^{r_i}(W, V) \cap G\{\theta\} = \emptyset$, so $\beta_i^{r_i}$ is not null homotopy in Y , moreover, since $\pi_1(W) = \pi_1(X)$ generated by $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\}$ is the free product of infinite cycle groups, $\beta_i^{r_i}$ also is not null homotopy in X . $\beta_i^{r_i}$ is not null homotopy in $W \cup W'$ by Van Kampen theorem.

However, $\beta_i^{r_i}$ is null homotopy in $W \cup W'$, hence $\beta_i^{r_i}(W, V) \cap G\{\theta\} \neq \emptyset$. It is obviously that for each r ($1 \leq r \leq r_i - 1$), $G\{\theta\} \cap \beta_i^r(W, V) = \emptyset$.

Therefore, for every i , we have

$$G\{\theta\} \cap \beta_i^{r_i}(W, V) \neq \emptyset$$

and

$$G\{\theta\} \cap \beta_i^r(W, V) = \emptyset, \quad (1 \leq r \leq r_i - 1)$$

Let $e \in G\{\theta\} \cap \beta_i^{r_i}(W, V)$, e may be expressed as follow

$$e = x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots x_m^{\lambda_m}, \quad x \in \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\} \quad (25)$$

where any two successive elements are different, and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are nonzero integers.

On account of $\pi_1(V)$ is the quotient of the free group on the generators $\{\theta_1, \gamma_1, \dots, \theta_k, \gamma_k\}$ modulo the normal subgroup generated by the element $\prod_{i=1}^k [\theta_i, \gamma_i]$ (see [3]), so $G\{\theta\} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^k G\{\theta_i\}$ is the free product of k infinite cycle groups $G\{\theta_i\}$, and the expression (25) is unique.

θ_i can be expressed as

$$\theta_i = b_{i1} b_{i2} \cdots b_{in_i}, \quad b \in \{\alpha_1^{\pm 1}, \beta_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, \alpha_k^{\pm 1}, \beta_k^{\pm 1}\} \quad (26)$$

The product expression of e is obtained from (25), (26)

$$e = (a_{11} a_{12} \cdots a_{1k_1})_1^{\lambda_1} (a_{21} a_{22} \cdots a_{2k_2})_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots (a_{m1} a_{m2} \cdots a_{mk_m})_m^{\lambda_m} \quad (27)$$

$$a_{ij} \in \{\alpha_1^{\pm 1}, \beta_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, \alpha_k^{\pm 1}, \beta_k^{\pm 1}\}$$

$\pi_1(W) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^k G\{\beta_i\}$ is the free product of k infinite cycle groups $G(\beta_i)$, and $\alpha_i = S_R^1(p_i) = BdD_R^2(p_i)$, $(D_R^2(p_i) \subset W)$ is null homotopy in W , $e \in \beta_i^{r_i}(W, V)$, so in $\pi_1(W)$, e is uniquely expressed as

$$e = y_1^{\mu_1} y_2^{\mu_2} \cdots y_m^{\mu_m} \quad y_i \in \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\} \quad (28)$$

where any two successive elements are different, $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$ are nonzero integers.

The expression (28) will be obtained by using following technique:

We delete all factors of $\{\alpha_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, \alpha_k^{\pm 1}\}$ from (27) and remain factors of $\{\beta_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, \beta_k^{\pm 1}\}$ with same order as they in (27). Then (28) is obtained by simplification.

On account of $e \in G\{\theta\} \cap \beta_i^{r_i}(W, V)$, hence e is homotopy equivalence to $\beta_i^{\pm r_i}$ in W , so the expression (28) is just $e = \beta_i^{\pm r_i}$.

On the boundary V , each θ_i can be expressed in the form below

$$\theta_i|_V = A_i \alpha_i^{\theta_i \cdot \beta_i} \beta_i^{-\theta_i \cdot \alpha_i} B_i; \quad A_i, B_i \in [\pi_1(V), \pi_1(V)]$$

In W , each θ_i can be expressed in the form below

$$\theta_i|_W = A_i|_W \beta_i^{r_i} B_i|_W; \quad A_i|_W, B_i|_W \in [\pi_1(W), \pi_1(W)]$$

We have the following equations

$$e|_V = (A_{i1} \beta_{i1}^{r_{i1}} B_{i1})^{\lambda_{i1}} (A_{i2} \beta_{i2}^{r_{i2}} B_{i2})^{\lambda_{i2}} \cdots (A_{im_i} \beta_{im_i}^{r_{im_i}} B_{im_i})^{\lambda_{im_i}}$$

$$e|_W = (A_{i1}|_W \beta_{i1}^{r_{i1}} B_{i1}|_W)^{\lambda_{i1}} (A_{i2}|_W \beta_{i2}^{r_{i2}} B_{i2}|_W)^{\lambda_{i2}} \cdots (A_{im_i}|_W \beta_{im_i}^{r_{im_i}} B_{im_i}|_W)^{\lambda_{im_i}}$$

Since $e \in \beta_i^{r_i}(W, V) \cap G(\theta)$, we have $e^{-1} \in \beta_i^{r_i}(W, V) \cap G(\theta)$, In consideration of (22), we can assume $\lambda_{i1} = 1$ and

$$e|_W = (A_i|_W \beta_i^{r_i} B_i|_W) (A_{i2}|_W \beta_{i2}^{r_{i2}} B_{i2}|_W)^{\lambda_{i2}} \cdots (A_{im_i}|_W \beta_{im_i}^{r_{im_i}} B_{im_i}|_W)^{\lambda_{im_i}}$$

If $B_i|_W \neq 1$, then

$$(B_i|_W)^{-1} = (A_{i2}|_W \beta_{i2}^{r_{i2}} B_{i2}|_W)^{\lambda_{i2}} \cdots (A_{im_i}|_W \beta_{im_i}^{r_{im_i}} B_{im_i}|_W)^{\lambda_{im_i}} A_i$$

So $\theta_{i2}|_W, \dots, \theta_{im_i}|_W$ is a proper factor of $\theta_i|_W$, and $\theta_{i2}|_W \neq \theta_i|_W$. According to (22), $\beta_j^{\pm 1}$; ($j \neq i$) are all homogenous. Therefore, if $\theta_{i2}|_W$ is a proper factor of $\theta_i|_W$, then $\theta_i|_W$ is not be the proper factor of $\theta_{i2}|_W$. Similarly, if $A_i|_W \neq 1$, then $\theta_{im_i}|_W \neq \theta_i|_W$ and $\theta_{im_i}|_W$ is the proper factor of $\theta_i|_W$. if $\theta_{i2}|_W$ is a proper factor of $\theta_i|_W$, we give the relation $\theta_{i2}|_W < \theta_i|_W$. The relation $<$ define a partial ordering of the set $\{\theta_1|_W, \dots, \theta_k|_W\}$. Suppose $\theta_i|_W$ be a minimal factor, then $A_i|_W = B_i|_W = 1$, and

$$e|_W = \beta_i^{r_i} (A_{i2}|_W \beta_{i2}^{r_{i2}} B_{i2}|_W)^{\lambda_{i2}} \cdots (A_{im_i}|_W \beta_{im_i}^{r_{im_i}} B_{im_i}|_W)^{\lambda_{im_i}}$$

According to (22), in the above formula, $\beta_h^{\pm 1}$ ($h \neq i$) are all homogeneous. Therefore, if $m_i \geq 2$, then (28) cannot be reduced to $\beta_i^{\pm r_i}$, thus be $m_i = 1$. If $m_i = 1$, $\lambda_1 \neq \pm 1$, then (28) cannot be reduced to $\beta_i^{\pm r_i}$. Therefore, $m_i = 1$, $\lambda_1 = \pm 1$. Hence $e = \theta_i^{\pm 1}$ and $\{\theta_i^{\pm 1}\} = \beta_i^{r_i}(W, V) \cap G(\theta)$.

Taking an element $l \in \beta_j^{r_j}(W, V)$, we will prove the equation $l \cdot \alpha_j = \pm r_j$.

In $\pi_1(V)$, l can be expressed as the product

$$l = c_1^{\rho_1} c_2^{\rho_2} \cdots c_m^{\rho_m}; \quad c \in \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_k\} \quad (29)$$

where any adjacent element are not the same and $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m$ are all nonzero integers.

According to Lemma 4, we have $d(l, \alpha_i) = 0, (\forall i, i \neq j)$, So $l \cdot \alpha_i = 0, (\forall i, i \neq j)$. Therefore, in the equation (29), $\beta_i^{\pm 1}, (i \neq j)$ are all homogeneous. Since $l \in \beta_j^{r_j}(W, V)$, l is homotopy equivalence to $\beta_j^{r_j}$ in W , namely $l|_W = \beta_j^{r_j}|_W$. Because $\pi_1(W) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^k G(\beta_i)$, so $l \cdot \alpha_j = \pm r_j \neq 0$.

Since $\{\theta_i^{\pm 1}\} = \beta_i^{r_i}(W, V) \cap G(\theta)$, we have $r_i = |\theta_i \cdot \alpha_i|$. **QED**

Lemma 5. Suppose that $(W; V_1, V)$, $(W'; V, V_2)$, $(W \cup W'; V_1, V_2)$ are three oriented, smooth, compact 3-manifolds and that V_1, V_2 are both simply connected. $f : (W \cup W'; V_1, V_2) \rightarrow R^1$ is a Morse function with the critical points $p_1, \dots, p_k, (k \geq 1)$ of type 1 and the critical points $q_1, \dots, q_k$ of type 2, $f^{-1}(-2) = V_1, f^{-1}(0) = V, f^{-1}(2) = V_2, f(p_i) = -1, f(q_i) = 1, (i = 1, \dots, k)$. If for every $i, \{\theta_i^{\pm 1}\} = \beta_i^{r_i}(W, V) \cap G\{\theta\}$ and $r_i = |\theta_i \cdot \alpha_i| \geq 1$, then on $V, d(\theta_i, \alpha_h) = 0, (\forall i \neq h)$.

Proof. According to the arrangement theorem of cobordisms, for every permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, k\}$, the manifold $(W \cup W'; V_1, V_2)$ can be expressed as

$$W_{\sigma(1)} \cup W_{\sigma(2)} \cup \dots \cup W_{\sigma(k)} \cup W'$$

where $p_{\sigma(i)} \in \text{Int}(W_{\sigma(i)}; V_{\sigma(i)}, V_{\sigma(i+1)})$.

By Lemma 4, for each nonzero integer n and $\forall g \in \beta_{\sigma(1)}^n(W, V)$, we have $d(g, \alpha_h) = 0 (\forall \sigma(1) \neq h)$ on V . As $\{\theta_i^{\pm 1}\} = \beta_i^{r_i}(W, V) \cap G\{\theta\} (\forall i = 1, \dots, k)$, so we obtain the equations $d(\theta_i, \alpha_h) = 0 (\forall i \neq h)$ on V . **QED**

Lemma 6. Assuming $T(k)$ is a differentiable, oriented and closed 2-submanifold with the genus k ; M and M' are smooth closed, transversely intersecting 1-submanifolds. Suppose that the intersection numbers at $p, q \in M \cap M'$ are +1 and -1 respectively. Let C and C' be the smoothly imbedding arcs in M and M' from p to q . If C and C' enclose a 2-disc D (with two corners) with $\text{Int}D \cap (M \cap M') = \emptyset$. Then, there exists an isotopy $h : T(k) \times I \rightarrow T(k)$ such that

- (1) h_0 is the identity map;
- (2) The isotopy is the identity in a neighborhood of $M \cap M' - \{p, q\}$;
- (3) $h_1(M) \cap M' = M \cap M' - \{p, q\}$.

Proof. M and M' are 1-manifolds, so there are two one-sided collars $M \times [0, 1) \subset T(k)$ with $M \times 0 = M$ and $M' \times [0, 1) \subset T(k)$ with $M' \times 0 = M'$. Take a small positive number ε and two arcs C_1, C_2 with $C \subset C_1, C' \subset C_2$. We may assume that $C_1 \times \varepsilon$ and $C_2 \times \varepsilon$ transversely intersect at two points p', q' and enclose a 2-disc E' with $D \subset \text{Int}E'$.

Because the boundary $BdE' = (C_1 \times \varepsilon) \cup (C_2 \times \varepsilon)$ has exactly two corners, by use of slight perturbation within a small neighborhood of p', q' , we obtain the smooth boundary S of a 2-disc E with $D \subset \text{Int}E$.

Let $\Psi : E \rightarrow D^2$ denote a diffeomorphism of E and D^2 .

Taking two arcs $L = M \cap E$ and $L' = M' \cap E$, then $C \subset L, C' \subset L'$; L and $S = BdE$ transversely intersect at two points $\{x_1, x_2\}$; L' and $S = BdE$ transversely intersect at two points $\{y_1, y_2\}$; L and L' only transversely intersect at two points $\{p, q\}$.

Since the intersection numbers of M and M' at p, q are +1 and -1 respectively, there exists a line segment γ in $D^2, \gamma \cap S^1 = \{a, b\}$, a, b separate the boundary S into two arcs S_1 and S_2 such that $\Psi(x_1), \Psi(x_2) \in \text{Int}S_1, \Psi(y_1), \Psi(y_2) \in \text{Int}S_2$. Moreover, γ separate D^2 into two closed area A, B such that $\gamma \cup S_1 = BdA, \gamma \cup S_2 = BdB$.

Taking a diffeomorphism $\Omega : OD^2 \rightarrow R^2$ with $\Omega(\gamma) = R^1 \times 0$. We can assume, by proper choice of Ω , that $\Omega \circ \Psi(L) \cap R^-$ and $\Omega \circ \Psi(L') \cap R^+$ are both the compact subset (curve segments). Since $D \subset \text{Int}E$ is a compact subset, $\Omega \circ \Psi(D)$ is a compact of R^2 and $\Omega \circ \Psi(C \cup C') = Bd\Omega \circ \Psi(D)$.

Let $X_1(x) = (0, 1)$ be the unit vector field and $\delta_r : R^2 \rightarrow R^1$ be a differentiable function satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \delta_r(x) \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in R^2 \\ \delta_r(x) &= 1, \quad \forall x \in D_r^2 \\ \delta_r(x) &= 0, \quad \forall x \in R^2 - D_{r+1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where D_r^2 is a 2-disc with the radius r in R^2 .

$X_r(x) = \delta_r(x)X_1(x)$, $\forall x \in R^2$ is a new vector field. We claim $\Omega \circ \Psi(D) \subset OD_r^2$ by taking a large r . The vector field determine one differentiable isotopy $h : R^2 \times R^1 \rightarrow R^2$. Since $\Omega \circ \Psi(L) \cap R^-$ and $\Omega \circ \Psi(L') \cap R^+$ are both the compact subset of R^2 , $h_{t_0}(\Omega \circ \Psi(L)) \cap \Omega \circ \Psi(L') = \emptyset$ can be obtained by taking sufficient large t_0 . According to the definition of h_t , we obtain:

$$h_t(x) = x, \quad \forall x \in R^2 - D_{r+1}^2, \quad \forall t \in R^+$$

The local isotopy $H_t = (\Omega \circ \Psi)^{-1} \circ h_t \circ (\Omega \circ \Psi) : \text{Int}E \times [0, t_0] \rightarrow \text{Int}E$ can be extended to the total isotopy $H_t : T(k) \times [0, t_0] \rightarrow T(k)$ satisfying the conditions

H_0 is the identity map

$$H_t(x) = x, \quad \forall x \in T(k) - E, \quad \forall t \in [0, t_0]$$

$$H_{t_0}(M) \cap M' = M \cap M' - \{p, q\}$$

The desire isotopy is obtained. **QED**

Lemma 7. Suppose that $(W; V_1, V)$, $(W'; V, V_2)$, $(W \cup W'; V_1, V_2)$ are three oriented, smooth, compact 3-manifolds and satisfy the conditions of Lemma 5 and $\pi_1(W \cup W')$ is a finite group. Then $(W \cup W'; V_1, V_2)$ can be expressed as:

$$C_1 C'_1 C_2 C'_2 \cdots C_k C'_k; \quad p_i \in \text{Int}C_i; \quad q_i \in \text{Int}C'_i$$

where $(C_i; V_i, U_i)$ and $(C'_i; U_i, V_{i+1})$ are the elementary cobordisms, and V_j are all simply connected.

Proof. Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ be disjoint 1-submanifolds in $T(k) = V$ and θ be a 1-submanifold satisfying the conditions $d(\theta, \alpha_j) = 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, k$). If $\theta \cap \alpha_j \neq \emptyset$, we assume that they are transversely intersect. Since $d(\theta, \alpha_j) = 0$, θ and α_j have cross points $\{x_{ij}, y_{ij} \mid i = 1, \dots, r_j\}$, θ is separated into $2r_j$ smooth curve segments $\{l_{j1}, \dots, l_{j2r_j}\}$ with $l_{jt}(0) \in \{x_{ji} \mid i = 1, \dots, r_j\}$ and $l_{jt}(1) \in \{y_{ji} \mid i = 1, \dots, r_j\}$, α_j is separated into $2r_j$ smooth curve segments $\{g_{j1}, \dots, g_{j2r_j}\}$ with $g_{jt}(0) \in \{x_{ji} \mid i = 1, \dots, r_j\}$ and $g_{jt}(1) \in \{y_{ji} \mid i = 1, \dots, r_j\}$.

Since $d(\theta, \alpha_j) = 0$, there exist l_{ja} and g_{jb} which enclose a 2-disc D with $\text{Int}D \cap (\theta \cap (\alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_k)) = \emptyset$.

According to Theorem 6 we can assume $\{\theta_1^1\} = \beta_1^{r_1}(W, V) \cap G(\theta)$

According to lemma 6, there exists an isotopy $h_t : T(k) \times I \rightarrow T(k)$, the isotopy is the identity in a neighborhood of $\theta \cap (\alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_k) - \{g_{jb}(0), g_{jb}(1)\}$ and $h_1(\theta) \cap \alpha_j = \theta \cap \alpha_j - \{g_{jb}(0), g_{jb}(1)\}$. Therefore, there exists finite isotopies, and $\theta \cap \alpha_j = \emptyset$ ($j = 1, \dots, k$).

According to Theorem 6 and Lemma 5, Lemma 6, there are the isotopies such that

$$S_L^1(q_1) \cap S_R^1(p_j) = \emptyset, \quad (\forall j \geq 2) \quad (30)$$

$$|S_L^1(q_1) \cdot S_R^1(p_1)| = r_1 \quad (31)$$

Hence, we can alter the gradient field of W' satisfying (30) and (31) in V .

On the basis of Smale's theorem on rearrangement of cobordisms ([1]), we have

$$W \cup W' = C_1 C'_1 W_1 W'_1; \quad p_1 \in \text{Int} C_1, \quad q_1 \in \text{Int} C'_1, \quad p_i \in \text{Int} W_1, \quad q_i \in \text{Int} W'_1 \quad (i \geq 2)$$

and in U_1

$$S_L^1(q_1) \cdot S_R^1(p_1) = \pm r_1$$

Since V_1 is a simply connected, closed 2-manifold and C_1 has exactly one critical point p_1 of type 1, U_1 is an oriented closed 2-manifold with the genus 1. C'_1 has exactly one critical point q_1 of type 2, then the characteristic embedding associate to q_1 is

$$\varphi : S^1 \times OD^1 \rightarrow U_1$$

So, $V_2 = \chi(U_1, \varphi)$. $\chi(U_1, \varphi)$ denote the quotient manifold obtained from the disjoint sum

$$(U_1 - \varphi(S^1 \times 0)) + (OD^2 \times S^0)$$

by identifying

$$\varphi(u, \theta v) = (\theta u, v); \quad u \in S^1, \quad v \in S^0, \quad 0 < \theta < 1$$

where $\varphi(S^1 \times 0)$ is the left-hand sphere $S_L^1(q_1)$ and $(0 \times S^0)$ is the right-hand sphere $S_R^0(q_1)$.

If $S_L^1(q_1)$ separate U_1 into two 2-manifolds, then for any closed path l , the intersection number of l and $S_L^1(q_1)$ is equal to zero, namely, $l \cdot S_L^1(q_1) = 0$, but $|S_L^1(q_1) \cdot S_R^1(p_1)| = r_1 \geq 1$, hence $S_L^1(q_1)$ dose not separate U_1 . So V_2 is connected.

In $(C'_1; V_2, U_1)$, the critical point q_1 has index 1. If the genus of V_2 is g , then the characteristic embedding associate to q_1 is $\varphi_R : S^0 \times OD^2 \rightarrow V_2$, so the genus of $U_1 = \chi(V_2, \varphi_R)$ is $g + 1$. It is known that the genus of U_1 is 1, hence $g = 0$, V_2 is diffeomorphic to S^2 .

It is possible to alter the gradient vector field and rearrange the critical points such that

$$W_1 W'_1 = C_2 C'_2 W_2 W'_2$$

$$p_2 \in C_2, \quad q_2 \in C'_2, \quad p_i \in W_2, \quad q_i \in W'_2 \quad (i \geq 3)$$

V_2, V_3 are simply connected

This procedure will continue until we derive the final conclusion. **QED**

Theorem 7. Let $(W; S^2, V)$ and $(W'; V, S^2)$ be two orientable elementary cobordisms. $(W; S^2, V)$ has one critical point p of index 1, $(W'; V, S^2)$ has one critical point q of index 2. Then

(a) The 3-manifold $(W \cup W'; S^2, S^2)$ is simply connected, if and only if on V ,

$$S_R^1(p) \cdot S_L^1(q) = \pm 1$$

(b) If $(W \cup W'; S^2, S^2)$ is simply connected, $(W \cup W'; S^2, S^2)$ is a product manifold

$$(S^2 \times [0, 1]; S^2 \times 0, S^2 \times 1)$$

Proof. If $S_R^1(p) \cdot S_L^1(q) = \pm 1$, according to Theorem 3, we have

$$\pi_1(W \cup W') \cong Z / |S_R^1(p) \cdot S_L^1(q)| = Z / \{1\}$$

namely, $(W \cup W'; S^2, S^2)$ is simply connected.

Suppose that $(W \cup W'; S^2, S^2)$ is simply connected. Since V is an oriented closed 2-manifold with the genus 1, $\pi_1(V)$ is the commutative group. So we have

$$\theta = (\theta \cdot \beta)\alpha - (\theta \cdot \alpha)\beta \quad (32)$$

$$\gamma = (\gamma \cdot \beta)\alpha - (\gamma \cdot \alpha)\beta \quad (33)$$

and

$$\alpha = -(\alpha \cdot \gamma)\theta + (\alpha \cdot \theta)\gamma \quad (34)$$

$$\beta = -(\beta \cdot \gamma)\theta + (\beta \cdot \theta)\gamma \quad (35)$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \theta \cdot \beta & -\theta \cdot \alpha \\ \gamma \cdot \beta & -\gamma \cdot \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha \cdot \gamma & \alpha \cdot \theta \\ -\beta \cdot \gamma & \beta \cdot \theta \end{pmatrix} = \pm 1 \quad (36)$$

where $\alpha = S_R^1(p)$, $\theta = S_L^1(q)$ and $\pi_1(W)$ generated by β is the infinite cycle group, $\pi_1(W')$ generated by γ is the infinite cycle group.

Suppose $\gamma \cdot \alpha \neq 0$. We Adopt a product representation of γ

$$\gamma = \alpha^{\gamma \cdot \beta} \beta^{-\gamma \cdot \alpha}$$

Since $\alpha = S_R^1(p) = BdD_R^2(p)$ and $D_R^2(p) \subset W$, α is null homotopy in W and $W \cup W'$. So in $\pi_1(W)$, γ can be expressed as in the form

$$\gamma = \beta^{-\gamma \cdot \alpha}$$

As $\pi_1(W)$ generated by β is the infinite cycle group, so γ is not null homotopy in W .

Taking a positive number ε , $\varepsilon < 1$, and two path connected sets $X = W \cup f^{-1}[0, \varepsilon)$, $Y = W' \cup f^{-1}(-\varepsilon, 0]$, then, $X \cap Y = f^{-1}(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. $f^{-1}(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ has not any critical point, so $f^{-1}(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ is an open product manifold $V \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. On account of X , Y , $X \cap Y$ are all path connected open sets, thus $\{X, Y, X \cap Y\}$ is a path connected open covering of $W \cup W'$.

W is a deformation retract of $\bar{X} = W \cup f^{-1}[0, \varepsilon]$ and Y is a deformation retract of $\bar{Y} = W' \cup f^{-1}[-\varepsilon, 0]$; As γ is not null homotopy in W and W' , so the conclusion $\pi_1(W \cup W') \neq \{1\}$ is obtained by Van. Kampen theorem. However, it is known that $\pi_1(W \cup W') = \{1\}$, hence, $\gamma \cdot \alpha = 0$.

The equation $\beta \cdot \theta = 0$ may be obtained by using the same technique.

From (32), (33), (36) and $\gamma \cdot \alpha = \beta \cdot \theta = 0$, we obtain following equations

$$\theta = \pm \beta$$

$$\gamma = \pm \alpha$$

$$S_R^1(p) \cdot S_L^1(q) = \pm 1$$

Since α and β transversely intersect at one point, we obtain the equation $d(S_R^1(p), S_L^1(q)) = 1$.

According to Lemma 6, there an isotopy (or finite isotopies) $h_t : V \times I \rightarrow V$ such that $S_R^1(p)$ and $S_L^1(q)$ transversely intersect at one point. According to the first cancellation theorem ([2] and [4]), it is possible to alter the gradient vector field of W or W' , thus

we obtain a new Morse function $f : W \cup W' \rightarrow R^1$ without any critical point. Hence, $(W \cup W'; V_1, V_2)$ is the product manifold $(S^2 \times [0, 1]; S^2 \times 0, S^2 \times 1)$.

Suppose $S_R^1(p) \cup S_L^1(q) = \alpha \cdot \theta = \pm 1$. θ may be expressed as

$$\theta = \alpha^{\theta \cdot \beta} \beta^{-\theta \cdot \alpha} = \alpha^{\theta \cdot \beta} \beta^{\pm 1}$$

Since $\alpha = S_R^1(p) = BdD_R^2(p)$ and $D_R^2(p) \subset W$, α is null homotopy in W and $W \cup W'$. So, in $\pi_1(W)$, θ may be expressed as

$$\theta = \beta^{-\theta \cdot \alpha} = \beta^{\pm 1}$$

As $\theta = S_L^1(q) = BdD_L^2(q)$ and $D_L^2(q) \subset W'$, so β is null homotopy in $W \cup W'$. $W \cup W'$ has a deformation retract

$$W \cup D_L^2(q)$$

and $\pi_1(W)$ generated by β is infinite cycle group, β is null homotopy in $W \cup W'$, hence $W \cup W'$ is simple connected.

Suppose $S_R^1(p) \cup S_L^1(q) = \alpha \cdot \theta = \pm 1$. θ may be expressed as

$$\theta = \alpha^{\theta \cdot \beta} \beta^{-\theta \cdot \alpha} = \alpha^{\theta \cdot \beta} \beta^{\pm 1}$$

Since $\alpha = S_R^1(p) = BdD_R^2(p)$ and $D_R^2(p) \subset W$, α is null homotopy in W and $W \cup W'$. So, in $\pi_1(W)$, θ may be expressed as

$$\theta = \beta^{-\theta \cdot \alpha} = \beta^{\pm 1}$$

As $\theta = S_L^1(q) = BdD_L^2(q)$ and $D_L^2(q) \subset W'$, so β is null homotopy in $W \cup W'$. $W \cup W'$ has a deformation retract

$$W \cup D_L^2(q)$$

and $\pi_1(W)$ generated by β is infinite cycle group, β is null homotopy in $W \cup W'$, hence $W \cup W'$ is simple connected. **QED**

5 Proof of Main Conclusions

Theorem 8 (Space-Form-Conjecture). A free action of a finite group on S^3 is equivalent to a linear action.

Proof. Let $\rho : S^3 \rightarrow M^3$ be a universal covering of M^3 and Γ be a discrete finite subgroup of the isometry group $Isom^+(S^3)$. Suppose that $M^3 = S^3/\Gamma$ is an orientable closed 3-manifold and $f \in Mf_{min}(M^3)$ is a self-indexing Morse function of type $\{1, k, k, 1\}$ which has the following properties:

- (1) $f(p_{\lambda i}) = index(p_{\lambda i}) - 0.5 = \lambda - 0.5$ at each critical point $p_{\lambda i}$ of index λ ;
- (2) $f^{-1}(0) = V_1$ and $f^{-1}(2) = V_2$ are both 2-spheres;
- (3) $f^{-1}(1) = V$ is an orientable closed 2-manifold with the genus k .

As $\pi_1(M^3) = \pi_1(f^{-1}[1, 2]) = \Gamma$ is a finite group, so for every i ($1 \leq i \leq k$), β_i is a finite order element. According to Theorem 4 and Lemma 7, we have the following conclusions

- (a) $f^{-1}[1, 2] = C_1 C_1' C_2 C_2' \cdots C_k C_k'$; $p_{1i} \in Int C_i$; $p_{2i} \in Int C_i'$, $(C_i; V_i, U_i)$ and $(C_i'; U_i, V_{i+1})$ are the elementary cobordisms, and V_j are all simply connected.
- (b) $\pi_1(C_i C_i') \cong Z/|S_R^1(p_{1i}) \cdot S_L^1(p_{2i})|$.

Therefore $\pi_1(f^{-1}[1, 2]) = \pi_1(M^3)$ is a free product of the groups $\{Z/|S_R^1(p_{1i}) \cdot S_L^1(p_{2i})| \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$, namely,

$$\pi_1(M^3) = (Z/|S_R^1(p_{11}) \cdot S_L^1(p_{21})|) * \dots * (Z/|S_R^1(p_{1k}) \cdot S_L^1(p_{2k})|) \quad (37)$$

Since $f \in Mf_{min}(M^3)$, we obtain the inequations $|S_R^1(p_{1i}) \cdot S_L^1(p_{2i})| \geq 2 \ (\forall i)$ by Lemma 7. Hence M^3 may be expressed as a connected sum

$$M^3 = P_1 \# P_2 \# \dots \# P_k$$

where $P_i = S^3 \# (C_i C'_i) \# S^3$.

We have the general result on 3-manifolds, Kneser's theorem that every compact orientable 3-manifold M^3 decomposes uniquely as a connected sum $M^3 = P_1 \# \dots \# P_k$ of 3-manifolds P_i which are prime in the sense that they can be decomposed as connected sums only in the trivial way $P_i = P_i \# S^3$. As every $\pi_1(C_i C'_i) = \pi_1(P_i) = Z/|S_R^1(p_{1i}) \cdot S_L^1(p_{2i})|$ is a finite linear group, so all 3-manifold P_i are prime.

From (37), we obtain the conclusion that if $k \geq 2$ and $|S_R^1(p_{1i}) \cdot S_L^1(p_{2i})| \geq 2 \ (\forall i = 1, \dots, k)$, then $\pi_1(M^3)$ is not a finite group and M^3 is not a prime 3-manifold. However, $\pi_1(M^3)$ is a finite group, since S^3 is a universal covering space of M^3 . Hence $k = 1$, $\pi_1(M^3)$ is a finite linear group, moreover there is a Morse function $f : M^3 \rightarrow R^1$ of type $\{1, 1, 1, 1\}$. The fundamental group $\pi_1(M^3)$ determines a discrete subgroup Γ of the isometry group $Isom^+(S^3)$ and M^3 is homeomorphic to S^3/Γ . Hence each orientable 3-manifold M^3 is the quotient space of S^3 under the free Z_q action. For example, each 3-dimensional lens space $Z_{p/q}$, which is the quotient space of S^3 under the free Z_q action generated by the rotation $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i/q} z_1, e^{2p\pi i/q} z_2)$, where S^3 is viewed as the unit sphere in C^2 .

Suppose that M^3 is a nonorientable closed 3-manifold with the universal covering space S^3 . Then M^3 has a 2-sheeted covering space $\widetilde{M^3}$ which is an orientable closed 3-manifold and $\widetilde{M^3}$ has the universal covering space S^3 which is irreducible. According to the well-known criterion for recognizing irreducible 3-manifolds that If $p : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is a covering space and $\widetilde{M}$ is irreducible, then so is M , $\widetilde{M^3}$ is irreducible here $\widetilde{M^3}$ is called irreducibility: if every $S^2 \subset \widetilde{M^3}$ bounds a ball $B^3 \subset \widetilde{M^3}$. (see [8])

As the only orientable prime 3-manifold which is not irreducible is $S^1 \times S^2$ and $\pi_1(S^1 \times S^2) = \pi_1(S^1) * \pi_1(S^2) \cong Z$ is the infinite group, so S^3 is not the universal covering space of the orientable closed 3-manifold $S^1 \times S^2$. Therefore $\widetilde{M^3}$ also is prime. According to above result, $\widetilde{M^3}$ is the quotient space of S^3 under the free Z_q action. Since $\widetilde{M^3}$ is a 2-sheeted covering space of M^3 , each nonorientable 3-manifold M^3 with the universal covering space S^3 is the quotient space of S^3 under the free $Z_{q/2}$ action. For example, S^3 is a 2-sheeted covering space of RP^3 . **QED**

References

- [1] John Milnor, Notes by L. Siebenmann J. Sondow. Lectures on the h-Cobordism Theorem. Preliminary Informal Notes of University Courses and Seminars in Mathematics.
- [2] William S. Massey. Algebraic, Topology: An Introduction. Springer-Verlag, Sixth printing 1984.
- [3] W. Huebsch and M. Morse, The Bowl Theorem and a Model Nondegenerate Function, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 51 (1964), p 49-51.

- [4] John. Milnor, Morse Theory, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1963.
- [5] S. Smale, Diffeomorphisms of the 2-sphere. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10(1959),621-626.
- [6] Minkres, Differential Isotopies on the 2-sphere. Michigan Math. J.7(1960),193-197.
- [7] Allen Hatcher, Notes on Basic 3-Manifold Topology
- [8] Milnor, J. A unique factorization theorem for 3-manifolds, Amer. J. Math. 84 (1962), 1-7.